UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/562,121 | 06/28/2006 | Carole Baubet | 283425US0PCT | 5540 |
| | 7590 04/24/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1 | 4/24/2008 AND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. ROBINSON, LAUREN E | IINER | |
| 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | ROBINSON, LAUREN E | | |
| ALEXANDRIA | A, VA 22314 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1794 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/24/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--------|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/562,121 | BAUBET ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | LAUREN ROBINSON | 1794 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | on appears on the cover sheet wit | h the correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' y statute, cause the application to become AB | CATION. Poply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 28 June 2006 | | | | |
| ·= · · · _ | This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for a | - | are prosecution as to the meri | ite ie | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice ur | • | • • | 13 13 | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice di | idei Ex parte Quayre, 1909 O.D. | 11, 400 0.0. 210. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the applic | cation. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi | thdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction ar | nd/or election requirement. | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exa | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection | to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t | he Examiner. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-15 | 2. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for | uments have been received. uments have been received in Ape priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | oplication No received in this National Stage | Э | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 48) Paper No(s | ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-15, drawn to an article.

Group II, claim(s) 16-28, drawn to process.

Group III, claim(s) 29-31, drawn to apparatus.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II, and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: For instance, the technical feature in all three of the above groups is the substrate with the limitations of claim 1. However, this technical feature is not the applicants' contribution over the prior art of Ushikubo (US PN 5,548,475) with obvious characteristics set forth by Uchiyama (US Pub. No. 2002/0110934).

Specifically, Ushikubo teaches a substrate, (Col. 4, lines 50-60), which as evidence by Uchiyama can be glass, coated with a thin dielectric film layer (abstract and Fig. 1). The film is deposited by magnetic sputtering (Col. 2, lines 19-26) in the presence of oxygen (Col. 6, lines 33-50). The film can then be exposed to an ion beam

(Claim 7) which inherently comes from an ion source. Also, the film is characterized in that the said dielectric layer can be crystallized (Col. 1, lines 35-55).

Due to the above teaching, unity of invention between the three groups above is lacking.

A telephone call was made to Norman Oblon on March 3, 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAUREN ROBINSON whose telephone number is (571)270-3474. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 6am to 4pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,121 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-2721284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lauren E. T. Robinson Examiner AU 1794

/LAUREN ROBINSON/ Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/Carol Chaney/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794